

State of Speaker Verification Technology

CONFIDENTIAL Copyright © 2004 International Biometric Group

International·Biometric·Group

R e s e a r c h C o n s u l t i n g I n t e g r a t i o n

International Biometric Group Biometric Research Report Series

Copyright © 2004 by International Biometric Group. All rights reserved.

Duplication or reproduction of this publication in any form without prior written permission is forbidden. The information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. International Biometric Group disclaims all warranties as to the accuracy, completeness or adequacy of such information. International Biometric Group shall have no liability for errors, omissions or inadequacies in the information contained herein or for interpretations thereof. The reader assumes sole responsibility for the selection of these materials to achieve its intended results. The opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. All third party company names, product names and marks are used for identification purposes only and may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Request for permission or further information should be addressed to:

**International Biometric Group
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, NY 10004
(212) 809-9491**

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Speaker Verification Market: 2003-2008	11
Market Overview	11
Speaker Verification Recognition Revenues by Application	12
Landscape of Marketplace	13
Growth Drivers and Enablers	14
Growth Inhibitors	16
Revenue Generation in Speaker Verification Systems	17
Trends in the Speaker Verification Market: 2004-2008	18
Speaker Verification Performance Considerations	19
Factors that Impact Accuracy	19
Measuring Speaker Verification Technology Performance	20
Comparative Performance of Leading Technologies: Overview	22
Evaluation Overview	22
Systems Evaluated	22
Evaluation Methodology	26
Test Population	30
Speaker Verification Performance: Landline	33
Visit 1 Landline False Match and False Non-Match Rates	33
Visit 2 Landline False Non-Match Rates	40
Speaker Verification Performance: Cell Phone	48
Visit 1 Cellular False Match and False Non-Match Rates	48
Visit 2 Cellular Phone Matching Trials	55
Additional Performance Data	60
Results with a “Best of Three” Decision Policy	60
Enrollment Results	64
Spoof Impostor Trials	65
Impostor Match Results for 20 Target Subjects	68
Observations on System Performance	72

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Speaker Verification Revenue Projections 2003-2008	11
Figure 2: Speaker Verification Revenues by Application	12
Figure 3: Speaker Verification Revenues by Application	12
Figure 4: Tier 1-3 Speaker Verification Vendors	14
Figure 5: Speaker Verification Market Map	17
Figure 6: Summary of System Operations	22
Figure 7: Layout of Recording PC and Test Systems	25
Figure 8: Relationship between Trials, Attempts, FMR, and FAR.....	27
Figure 9: Relationship between Trials, Attempts, FNMR, and FRR.....	29
Figure 10: Test Participation.....	30
Figure 11: Test Subject Demographics	31
Figure 12: Target Subject Demographics.....	31
Figure 13: Nuance Visit 1 Landline Results	33
Figure 14: Nuance Visit 1 Landline Score and Comparison Data.....	34
Figure 15: T-NETIX Visit 1 Landline Results	35
Figure 16: T-NETIX Visit 1 Landline Score and Comparison Data	36
Figure 17: Persay Visit 1 Landline Results.....	37
Figure 18: Persay Visit 1 Landline Score and Comparison Data	38
Figure 19: Cross-System Visit 1 Landline Summary	39
Figure 20: Nuance Visit 2 Landline Results	41
Figure 21: Nuance Landline Visit 1, Landline Visit 2, and Impostor Data	42
Figure 22: T-NETIX Visit 2 Landline Results	43
Figure 23: T-NETIX Landline Visit 1, Landline Visit 2, and Impostor Data.....	44
Figure 24: Persay Visit 2 Landline Results.....	45
Figure 25: Persay Landline Visit 1, Landline Visit 2, and Impostor Data	46
Figure 26: Cross-System Visit 2 Landline Summary.....	47
Figure 27: Nuance Visit 1 Cell Phone Results	48
Figure 28: Nuance Visit 1 Landline, Cell Phone, and Impostor Data	49
Figure 29: T-NETIX Visit 1 Cell Phone Results.....	50
Figure 30: T-NETIX Visit 1 Landline, Cell Phone, and Impostor Data	51
Figure 31: Lowest 10 T-NETIX Visit 1 Landline and Cell Phone Scores	51
Figure 32: Persay Visit 1 Cell Phone Results.....	52
Figure 33: Persay Visit 1 Landline, Cell Phone, and Impostor Data	53
Figure 34: Cross-System Visit 1 Cell Phone Summary.....	54
Figure 35: Nuance Visit 2 Cell Phone Results	55
Figure 36: T-NETIX Visit 2 Cell Phone Results.....	56
Figure 37: Persay Visit 2 Cell Phone Results.....	57
Figure 38: Cross-System Visit 2 Cell Phone Summary.....	58
Figure 39: Summary Comparison and Score Rollups	59
Figure 40: Nuance Landline Visit 2 “Decision Policy” Results	61
Figure 41: Nuance Landline Visit 2 “Attempt-Level” Results.....	61
Figure 42: T-NETIX Landline Visit 2 “Decision Policy” Results	62
Figure 43: T-NETIX Landline Visit 2 “Attempt-Level” Results	62
Figure 44: Persay Landline Visit 2 “Decision Policy” Results.....	63
Figure 45: Persay Landline Visit 2 “Attempt-Level” Results	63
Figure 46: Enrollment Rates	64
Figure 47: Enrollment Effort.....	64
Figure 48: Line-Level Spoof Testing Schematic.....	65
Figure 49: Line-Level and Microphone-Based Spoof Attack Scores.....	67
Figure 50: Nuance Impostor Scores by Target Subject	69
Figure 51: T-NETIX Impostor Scores by Target Subject.....	70
Figure 52: Persay Impostor Scores by Target Subject.....	71